## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/989,745 GARRISON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Gina C. Yu 1617 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Gina C. Yu. (3)Robert Dean. (2) Sreeni Padmanabhan. (4) Charles Zeller, (5) Mark Garrison. Date of Interview: 04 August 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the invention and the term "textured surface appearance"; the term "pre-determined time" was also found to be definite as explained in the spec; applicants will consider filing RCE with claims amended to narrow down the scope of the volatile compounds to overcome Zastrow et al. (US 5667772). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required